Byron Shire Echo May 23, 2006

Tweed threatens Byron with legal action on bund

Tweed Shire Council claims it will Shire, for over 14 years. start legal action against Byron Council fails to remove the Kallaroo Circuit bund at North Ocean Ocean Shores. Tweed said the bund is blocking the natural discharge of floodwaters from Byron Shire's Yelgun Creek catchment to the Brunswick River and is diverting them north into Tweed Shire.

Tweed Council, 'Concerns have been raised that the duration of environmentally indefensible. floods has been lengthened in the Shire, resulting in severe crop losses and economic hardship to sugar been suffering these losses, with no offer of compensation from Byron

'There are significant flooding Shire Council in a month if Byron problems in the North Ocean Shores area of Byron Shire which stem from poor urban planning practices in past years. The diversion of Yelgun Creek floodwaters seems to have been an attempt to partially remediate these problems. However artificially diverting floodwaters to the detriment of According to a press release from Tweed Shire landowners is considered to be unjust, unlawful and

'Byron Shire Council acknowllower south eastern parts of Tweed edged the unauthorized action in 1996 and entered into an agreement with Tweed Shire Council cane growers and other affected and the Mooball Crabbes Creek landowners. Landowners have now Drainage Union to install two 3.3m x 2.1m box culverts in the bund continued on page 2

Tweed legal threat

From front page

to relieve the blockage of Yelgun Creek.

works.

When it was officially 2005, despite being recomwithdrew the application.

'In frustration, last meeting on June 27. December, Tweed Shire Council asked Byron Shire action agreed to by Tweed regardless of the flood study to provide a written timeta- Shire Council, the Depart- findings, and without any

agreement. Byron Shire November 2005, except that tal impacts of removing the Council has not supplied all three authorities were to the timetable and this has meet again following the triggered the proposed legal receipt of the final report. action.'

In response Byron Coun-'It has taken nine years cil's general manager Pamela Shire Council resolved to from 1996 to 2005 for Westing told The Echo, Byron Shire Council to 'Byron Shire Council is now advance the project and in receipt of the draft final consider a Development report on flooding behav-Application for the remedial iour in the Marshalls Creek unless Byron complied with Hickey on Thursday. I hope catchment.

'The Department of Natplaced before them in April ural Resources will meet resolved to put at risk sigwith Councillors at a work nificant sums of money on mended for approval by their shop in early June to con-Director of Planning, they sider the report, and it will both shires by commencing be considered at Council's legal action unless Byron

In December, without further consultation, Tweed demand an unrealistic timetable for particular actions from Byron Shire Council, and to threaten legal action these demands.

the part of ratepayers of Shire Council removes the 'This is the course of bund entirely by May 31, implementation of the 1996 and Byron Shire Council in economic and environmen- in flooding levels.

bund entirely.

'Byron Shire Council will determine the next steps in the matter when it has properly considered the findings of the study.'

Byron Mayor Jan Barham told The Echo she would discuss the matter with local government minister Kerry that public monies on both 'Tweed has now further sides won't be spent unnecessarily,' she said.

The bund has been a controversial issue for a number of years, with conservationists concerned about the impacts of alterations to it on the water table in the Billinudgel Nature Reserve and nearby residents worble of actions leading to full ment of Natural Resources assessment of the social, ried about recent increases